

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/965,071	SICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SARA CHANDLER	3693	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SARA CHANDLER. (3) _____.

(2) Duane Basch. (4) _____.

Date of interview: 27 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4-9 and 12-15.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Suggestions made by Examiner: 1. 101 issue, Bilski. Clarify steps in body of the claim done "by a computer" etc. 2. Remove "means for" language or indicate where support may be found in the specification. 3. Better to recite specific structure (e.g., processor, memory, display device etc.) and what configured to do rather than software.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.